

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x
NEIL J. BARRETT
Plaintiff(s)

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 9/18/07

-against-

D.J. KNIGHT CO. LTD. et al.
Defendant(s)
----- x

07 Civ. 7945 (LAK)

ORDER

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, District Judge.

Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The complaint or notice of removal, as applicable, fails adequately to allege the existence of subject matter jurisdiction because, perhaps among other things, it fails adequately to allege:

- ☐ The citizenship of one or more natural persons. *See, e.g., Sun Printing & Publishing Ass'n v. Edwards*, 194 U.S. 377 (1904); *Leveraged Leasing Administration Corp. v. PacifiCorp Capital, Inc.*, 87 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 1996).
- ☒ The citizenship of one or more corporations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more partnerships. *See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 195 (1990).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more limited liability companies. *See Handlesman v. Bedford Village Green Assocs. L.P.*, 213 F.3d 48, 52 (2d Cir. 2000).
- ☐ The nature and citizenship of one or more business entities.
- ☐ The timely removal of the action from state court.


In addition, **D.J. Knight & Company Ltd.** appears to be an existing corporation and has not joined in the previous notice of removal. Absent the filing, on or before 9/27/07, of an amended complaint or notice of removal, as the case may be, adequately alleging the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, the action will be dismissed or, if removed, remanded.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

9/17/07

corporation and has not joined in the previous notice of removal.


Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge